IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

MONDAY, THE 26TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 5TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 19204 of 2004(J)

PETITIONER:

K.V.BINISH LAL, PUTHUVAL PUTHEN VEEDU,
NEAR M.T.THREATRE, POTHENCODE P.O.
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.PIRAPPANCODE V.SREEDHARAN NAIR,
SRI.PIRAPPANCODE V.S.SUDHIR,
SRI.V.VARGHESE.

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. **STATE OF KERALA, REP. BY THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, HOME DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
2. **THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE, KERALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
3. **THE KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, REP. BY ITS SECRETARY, PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
4. **THE COMMANDANT, SPECIAL ARMED POLICE, (SAP) OFFICE OF THE COMMANDANT, S.A.P. THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
5. **THE DISTRICT OFFICER, KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, DISTRICT OFFICE, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER ANU SIVARAMAN,
ADV. SRI.ALEXANDER THOMAS,SC,KPSC.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 26/11/2007,THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

W.P.(C). NO.19204/2004-J:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P.1: COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION PUBLISHED IN THE KERALA GAZETTE DTD. 28/12/1999.

EXT.P.2: COPY OF THE ADVICE MEMO NO. DTG (1) 711/2000 DTD. 03/03/2004 OF THE R.5. ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P.3: COPY OF THE ORDER NO. A2/2160/2004 DTD. ....06/2004 (BATTALION ORDER NO. 220/2004-S OF THE R.4. ADDRESSED TO A SIMILARLY PLACED PERSON LIKE THE PETITIONER.

EXT.P.4: COPY OF THE PETITION DTD. 26/06/2004 SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER BEFORE THE R.4. WITHOUT ITS ENCLOSURES.

EXT.P.5: COPY OF THE F.I.R. FILED BY THE MANGALAPURAM POLICE BEFORE THE J.F.C.M. COURT-II ATTINGAL.

EXT.P.6: COPY OF THE F.I.R. IN RESPECT OF THE COMPLAINT FILED BY ONE SRI. SUBHASH BEFORE THE JFCM COURT-II, ATTINGAL.

EXT.P.7: COPY OF THE F.I.R. IN CRIME NO. 375/2002 BEFORE THE J.F.C.M. COURT-II, ATTINGAL.

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS: NIL.

//TRUE COPY//

prv.

KURIAN JOSEPH J.

Writ Petition (Civil) No.19204 of 2004

Dated 26th November, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

In the matter of appointment, there is already an interim order dated 5.7.2004. The writ petition is disposed of in terms of the said interim order making it clear that in case there is conviction, it will be open to the competent authority to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

tgs